Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,578	LEARMONTH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael P. Barker	1626	

All Participants:

(1) *Michael P. Barker*.

(2) *Randolph Digges*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *15 March 2006*

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112 indefinite for Claims 6-9; Objection for substantial duplicate - Claim 5.

Claims discussed:
5-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
No instructions received authorizing an Examiner's Amendment to correct the claims.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

M. P. B.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)